Almost all strongly connected semicomplete digraphs are idempotent trivial

# Petar Đapić<sup>1</sup>, Petar Marković<sup>1</sup> i Barnaby Martin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> DMI, PMF Univerziteta u Novom Sadu <sup>2</sup> Middlesex University, London, UK

Novi Sad, maj 2016

A digraph H is *semicomplete* if it is irreflexive (loopless) and for any two distinct vertices i and j, at least one of ij and ji is an edge of H. If E(H) never contains both ij and ji, then it is a *tournament*.

A digraph H is *semicomplete* if it is irreflexive (loopless) and for any two distinct vertices i and j, at least one of ij and ji is an edge of H. If E(H) never contains both ij and ji, then it is a *tournament*.

### Definition

A semicomplete digraph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  is strongly connected if for all  $u, v \in G$  there exist  $n \in \omega$  and vertices  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in V$  such that  $u \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_n \rightarrow v$ .

A digraph H is *semicomplete* if it is irreflexive (loopless) and for any two distinct vertices i and j, at least one of ij and ji is an edge of H. If E(H) never contains both ij and ji, then it is a *tournament*.

#### Definition

A semicomplete digraph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  is strongly connected if for all  $u, v \in G$  there exist  $n \in \omega$  and vertices  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in V$  such that  $u \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow a_n \rightarrow v$ .

### Definition

A *k*-ary polymorphism of a graph  $\mathcal{H}$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}^k$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ . A polymorphism f is idempotent when, for all x,  $f(x, \ldots, x) = x$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

A tournament  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, \rightarrow)$  is *transitive* if from  $x \rightarrow y$  and  $y \rightarrow z$  follows  $x \rightarrow z$ .

A tournament  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, \rightarrow)$  is *transitive* if from  $x \rightarrow y$  and  $y \rightarrow z$  follows  $x \rightarrow z$ .

### Definition

A tournament is *locally transitive* if for all  $v \in T$ , the induced subtournaments on the sets  $v^- := \{x \in T : x \to v\}$  and  $v^+ := \{x \in T : v \to x\}$  are transitive.

A digraph is a *core* if all of its endomorphisms are automorphisms.

All finite semicomplete digraphs are cores.

A digraph is a *core* if all of its endomorphisms are automorphisms.

All finite semicomplete digraphs are cores.

#### Theorem

For all finite core digraphs  $\mathcal{G}$  with > 2 vertices which have no idempotent polymorphisms other than projections,  $QCSP(\mathcal{G})$  is Pspace-complete.

A digraph is a *core* if all of its endomorphisms are automorphisms.

All finite semicomplete digraphs are cores.

#### Theorem

For all finite core digraphs  $\mathcal{G}$  with > 2 vertices which have no idempotent polymorphisms other than projections,  $QCSP(\mathcal{G})$  is Pspace-complete.

#### Definition

The digraphs whose only idempotent polymorphisms are projections are *idempotent trivial*.

A digraph is a *core* if all of its endomorphisms are automorphisms.

All finite semicomplete digraphs are cores.

#### Theorem

For all finite core digraphs  $\mathcal{G}$  with > 2 vertices which have no idempotent polymorphisms other than projections,  $QCSP(\mathcal{G})$  is Pspace-complete.

### Definition

The digraphs whose only idempotent polymorphisms are projections are *idempotent trivial*.

The goal of this lecture is

#### Theorem

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a strongly connected semicomplete digraph with more than one cycle, then  $QCSP(\mathcal{G})$  is Pspace-complete.

Petar Đapić (Novi Sad)

QCSP via polimophisms

A subset  $L \subseteq V$  is nice if the induced subgraph on L is strongly connected and all idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  restrict to L as projections.

э

A subset  $L \subseteq V$  is nice if the induced subgraph on L is strongly connected and all idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  restrict to L as projections.

# Lemma (A1)

Let L be a nice subset of V and let v be a vertex such that  $v^+ \cap L \neq \emptyset \neq v^- \cap L$ . Then  $L \cup \{v\}$  is nice.

A subset  $L \subseteq V$  is nice if the induced subgraph on L is strongly connected and all idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  restrict to L as projections.

# Lemma (A1)

Let L be a nice subset of V and let v be a vertex such that  $v^+ \cap L \neq \emptyset \neq v^- \cap L$ . Then  $L \cup \{v\}$  is nice.

## Lemma (A2)

Let  $L = \{a, b\}$  be compatible with (i. e. closed under) the idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  and let  $a \leftrightarrow b$ . If  $v \in V \setminus L$  is such that  $v^+ \cap L \neq \emptyset \neq v^- \cap L$  then  $\{a, b, v\}$  is nice.

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  be a strongly connected semicomplete digraph. We say that L splits  $\mathcal{G}$  if  $\emptyset \neq L \subsetneq V$  is a subset with the following properties: **1**  $\{L, L^{\forall +}, L^{\forall -}\}$  is a partition of V and **2** for any 2-cycle  $a \leftrightarrow b$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\{a, b\}$  is contained in one of L,  $L^{\forall +}$ , or  $L^{\forall -}$ .

# Proof

# Lemma (A3)

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  be a strongly connected semicomplete digraph which is not a cycle. Let  $L_0$  be either a 2-cycle or a nice subset of V. Then either all idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  are projections, or there exists a subset  $L \subseteq V$  such that L splits  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $L_0 \subseteq L$  and either the induced subgraph on L is a 2-cycle, or L is nice.

3 K K 3 K -

# Lemma (A3)

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  be a strongly connected semicomplete digraph which is not a cycle. Let  $L_0$  be either a 2-cycle or a nice subset of V. Then either all idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  are projections, or there exists a subset  $L \subseteq V$  such that L splits  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $L_0 \subseteq L$  and either the induced subgraph on L is a 2-cycle, or L is nice.

## Lemma (A4,A5)

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  be a strongly connected semicomplete digraph which is not a P-graph and let L split  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then there exist vertices  $a_0, a_1, b_0 \in V$ such that  $a_1 \leftarrow a_0 \rightarrow b_0 \rightarrow a_1$  and that either A4  $b_0 \in L^{\forall -}$  and  $a_0, a_1 \in L^{\forall +}$ , or A5  $b_0 \in L^{\forall +}$  and  $a_0, a_1 \in L^{\forall -}$ .

э

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Proof

### Definition

A locally transitive tournament  $\mathcal{T} = (\{1, \ldots, n\}, \rightarrow)$  is regular iff n = 2k + 1 for some positive integer k and for all  $1 \le i < j \le 2k + 1$ ,  $i \rightarrow j$  iff  $j - i \le k + 1$  (otherwise  $j \rightarrow i$ ). In other words, in the unique (up to isomorphism) regular locally transitive tournament with 2k + 1 vertices,  $\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(i) = i + k$  if  $i \le k + 1$ , and  $\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(i) = i - k - 1$  if i > k + 1.

(日)

A locally transitive tournament  $\mathcal{T} = (\{1, \ldots, n\}, \rightarrow)$  is regular iff n = 2k + 1 for some positive integer k and for all  $1 \le i < j \le 2k + 1$ ,  $i \rightarrow j$  iff  $j - i \le k + 1$  (otherwise  $j \rightarrow i$ ). In other words, in the unique (up to isomorphism) regular locally transitive tournament with 2k + 1 vertices,  $\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(i) = i + k$  if  $i \le k + 1$ , and  $\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(i) = i - k - 1$  if i > k + 1.

### Definition

The semicomplete digraph  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}} = (V, E)$  will be called a P-graph parametrized by the locally transitive tournament  $\mathcal{T} = (\{1, \ldots, n\}, \rightarrow)$  if there exists a partition  $\rho$  of the vertex set V into nonempty subsets  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  such that for all  $i \neq j$  and all  $a \in A_i$  and  $b \in A_j$ ,  $ab \in E$  iff  $i \rightarrow j$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{T} = (\{1, \ldots, n\}, \rightarrow)$  be a locally transitive tournament. Then  $\rho := \ker \varphi_{\mathcal{T}}$  is a congruence of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}/\rho$  is a regular locally transitive tournament  $\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}$  is a P-graph parametrized by  $\mathcal{T}'$ , and every P-graph parametrized by  $\mathcal{T}$  is also a P-graph parametrized by  $\mathcal{T}'$ .

3 K K 3 K

Image: A matrix and a matrix

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{T} = (\{1, \ldots, n\}, \rightarrow)$  be a locally transitive tournament. Then  $\rho := \ker \varphi_{\mathcal{T}}$  is a congruence of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}/\rho$  is a regular locally transitive tournament  $\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}$  is a P-graph parametrized by  $\mathcal{T}'$ , and every P-graph parametrized by  $\mathcal{T}$  is also a P-graph parametrized by  $\mathcal{T}'$ .

#### Lemma

Every idempotent polymorphism f of a regular locally transitive tournament  $\mathcal{T} = (\{1, 2, ..., 2k + 1\}, \rightarrow)$ , where k > 1, is a projection.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

# Theorem (B1)

Every idempotent polymorphism f of a P-graph  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}$  parametrized by the locally transitive tournament  $\mathcal{T}$  is a projection, except when  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}$  is the 3-cycle.

э

# Theorem (B1)

Every idempotent polymorphism f of a P-graph  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}$  parametrized by the locally transitive tournament  $\mathcal{T}$  is a projection, except when  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}$  is the 3-cycle.

### Lemma (B2)

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  be a strongly connected semicomplete digraph which contains at least one 2-cycle. Then for each 2-cycle  $a \leftrightarrow b$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , the set  $\{a, b\}$  is closed with respect to all idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  and each binary idempotent polymorphism of  $\mathcal{G}$  restricted to  $\{a, b\}$  is a projection.

- 20

# Proof

## Lemma (B3)

If a strongly connected tournament  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  is not a P-graph and for all  $v \in V$ , all strong components of the induced subgraphs on  $v^+$  and on  $v^-$  are of sizes 1 or 3, then there is a 3-cycle  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow a$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that all idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  restrict to  $\{a, b, c\}$  as projections.

# Lemma (B3)

If a strongly connected tournament  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  is not a P-graph and for all  $v \in V$ , all strong components of the induced subgraphs on  $v^+$  and on  $v^-$  are of sizes 1 or 3, then there is a 3-cycle  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow a$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that all idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  restrict to  $\{a, b, c\}$  as projections.

# Lemma (B4)

A strongly connected semicomplete digraph with at most four vertices which is not a cycle has only trivial idempotent polymorphisms.

# Lemma (B3)

If a strongly connected tournament  $\mathcal{G} = (V, \rightarrow)$  is not a P-graph and for all  $v \in V$ , all strong components of the induced subgraphs on  $v^+$  and on  $v^-$  are of sizes 1 or 3, then there is a 3-cycle  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow a$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that all idempotent polymorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  restrict to  $\{a, b, c\}$  as projections.

# Lemma (B4)

A strongly connected semicomplete digraph with at most four vertices which is not a cycle has only trivial idempotent polymorphisms.

#### Theorem

A strongly connected semicomplete digraph which is not a cycle has only trivial idempotent polymorphisms.

Petar Đapić (Novi Sad)

QCSP via polimophisms

Novi Sad, maj 2016 11 / 12

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

▶ < ∃ >

< □ > < 同 >

æ